arXiv:hep-ph/0102222v3 5 Jun 2001

# The muon anomalous magnetic moment and a new light gauge boson.

S.N. Gninenko [1] and N.V. Krasnikov [2]
*Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences,*
*Moscow 117312*

---

**Abstract**

It is shown that the 2.6 $\sigma$ discrepancy between the predicted and recently measured value of the anomalous magnetic moment of positive muons could be explained by the existence of a new light gauge boson X with a mass $M_X \le O(5)\ GeV$. Phenomenological bounds on the X coupling are discussed.

---

The recent precise measurement of the anomalous magnetic moment of the positive muon $a_\mu = (g-2)/2$ from Brookhaven AGS experiment 821 [1] gives result which is about $2.6\sigma$ higher than the Standard Model prediction

$$a_\mu^{exp} - a_\mu^{SM} = (43 \pm 16) \times 10^{-10} \tag{1}$$

This result may signals the existence of new physics beyond the Standard Model. At present the standard explanation of this result suggested in a few recently appeared papers is the supersymmetry with the chargino and sneutrino lighter than 800 GeV [2]. Note also possible explanations related with existence of leptoquarks [3] or existence of some exotic flavour-changing interactions [4]. All these explanations assume the existence of new particles with masses $\ge O(100)\ GeV$.

In this note we suggest that the recent BNL result gives an evidence for the existence of the physics with new light particle. As an example of the realization of this scenario we consider the model with light gauge boson X ($M_X \le O(5)\ GeV$) and briefly discuss possible phenomenological implications.

To be concrete besides standard $SU_c(3) \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ gauge group consider additional $U(1)_X$ interaction which commutes with standard gauge group. In other words our model has $SU_c(3) \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \otimes U(1)_X$ gauge group. We assume that all quarks have the same interaction with new gauge group

---

[1] E-mail address: Sergei.Gninenko@cern.ch

[2] Nikolai.Krasnikov@cern.ch

$U(1)_X$ but the interactions of each lepton flavour with new X-boson could be different. In such model the standard Higgs doublet has zero X-charge so there is no mixing between X-boson and Z-boson. The interaction of the X-boson with quarks and leptons can be written in the form

$$L_X = g_X[Q_{BX}B^\alpha + Q_{eX}L_e^\alpha + Q_{\mu X}L_\mu^\alpha + Q_{\tau X}L_\tau^\alpha]X_\alpha \tag{2}$$

where $B^\alpha = \sum_{q=u,d,s,...}\bar{q}\gamma^\alpha q$, $L_e^\alpha = \bar{e}\gamma^\alpha e + \bar{\nu}_{eL}\gamma^\alpha \nu_{eL}, ...$ . From the requirement of the absence of the $\gamma_5$ anomalies an additional constraint $3Q_{BX} + Q_{eX} + Q_{\mu X} + Q_{\tau X} = 0$ arises. The X-boson gives additional contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of lepton

$$\delta a_l = \frac{Q_{lX}^2 \alpha_X}{\pi}\int_0^1 \frac{x^2(1-x)}{x^2 + (1-x)M_X^2/m_l^2}, \tag{3}$$

where $\alpha_X = g_X^2/4\pi$ and $M_X$ is the mass of the X-boson. We shall use the normalisation $Q_{\mu X} = 1$. For $M_X \ll m_\mu$ we find from Eq.(1) that

$$\alpha_X = (2.7 \pm 1) \times 10^{-8} \tag{4}$$

For another limiting case $M_X \gg m_\mu$ Eq.(1) leads to

$$\alpha_X \frac{m_\mu^2}{M_X^2} = (4.1 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-8} \tag{5}$$

To suppress the contribution of the X-boson to the anomalous magnetic moment of the electron we assume that [3] $M_X \geq 10\ MeV$ or $Q_{eX} << Q_{\mu X}$.

The phenomenology of the light X-boson has been studied in refs.[5]-[7] (see also [15]) where untrivial bounds on the interaction of the X-boson with quarks and leptons have been derived.

Consider first possible manifestations of the X-boson in *neutrino reactions.* An account of the X-boson exchange between muon neutrino, electrons and quarks leads to the additional four-fermion interaction

$$\delta L_4 = \frac{g_X^2}{m_X^2 - t}\bar{\nu}_{\mu L}\gamma^\mu \nu_{\mu L}(Q_{eX}\bar{e}\gamma_\mu e + Q_{BX}\sum_i \bar{q}_i\gamma_\mu q_i) \tag{6}$$

Here, t is the square of the momentum transfer. Consider $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)e$-scattering. The typical cutoff on the momentum transfer in neutrino-electron scattering is $t_0 \simeq -400\ MeV^2$ and the cross section is maximal for minimal momentum transfer. The experimental value of the effective vector coupling of the electron with muon neutrino $g_V^{\nu e} = 0.3009 \pm .0015$ [10]. Comparison of the contribution from the effective interaction $\delta L_4$ to the $\nu_\mu - e$ scattering with the experimental data [10] leads to the bound [6]

---

[3] Experimental data on $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)e$ scattering lead to $|Q_{eX}| \ll 1$, see Eq.(8).

$$|Q_{eX}| g_X^2 \leq (M_X^2 + 400\ MeV^2) \times 5 \cdot 10^{-7}\ GeV^{-2} \tag{7}$$

For masses $M_X \ll 20\ MeV$ we find that

$$|Q_{eX}| \leq 2.3 \cdot 10^{-3} \tag{8}$$

For $M_X \gg 20\ MeV$ we obtain that

$$|Q_{eX}| \leq 0.04 \tag{9}$$

Analysis of neutral current $\nu$ reactions on nuclei allow to restrict value of $|Q_B|$. For deep inelastic $\nu_\mu N$- scattering (DIS) with the minimal momentum transfer $t_0 = -10\ GeV^2$ (for this value we are sure that perturbative QCD works rather well) the limit on the discrepancy between the predicted and measured values of DIS cross section could be evaluated to be $\lesssim 3$ % [10]. This results in the bound for X-boson contribution

$$g_X^2 |Q_{BX}| \leq 5 \cdot 10^{-6} \tag{10}$$

and gives

$$|Q_{BX}| \leq 60\ (M_X \ll m_\mu) \tag{11}$$

$$|Q_{BX}| \leq 40 m_\mu^2 / M_X^2\ (M_X \gg m_\mu) \tag{12}$$

For coherent pion production in neutrino and antineutrino scattering on nuclei [11] the typical minimal momentum transfer is $t_0 \simeq -0.02\ GeV^2$. From the assumption that X-boson contribution is $\lesssim 25$ %, which is taken as a limit on the difference between the experimental and theoretical values of the cross section [11], one can find that

$$|Q_{BX}| \leq O(1)\ (M_X \ll m_\mu) \tag{13}$$

$$|Q_{BX}| \leq O(0.4)\ (M_X \gg m_\mu) \tag{14}$$

Similar to neutrino scattering experiments possible existence of the X-boson could manifests itself in *rare meson decays*. If the X-boson is lighter than $\pi^0$-meson it is possible to search for it in the decay $\pi^0 \to \gamma + X$ [6,7]. The branching ratio of the $\pi^0 \to \gamma + X$ is [6]

$$Br(\pi^0 \to X + \gamma) = \frac{18 Q_{BX}^2 \alpha_X}{\alpha} (1 - \frac{M_X^2}{m_\pi^2})^3 \tag{15}$$

From the experimental bound on the branching ratio $Br(\pi^0 \to X+\gamma) \lesssim 3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ [9] one can find that

$$|Q_{BX}| \leq 2.1 \tag{16}$$

for $m_X \ll m_\mu$. The decay $K \to \pi + X$ has been studied in ref. [12]. The decay width $\Gamma(K \to \pi + X)$ is proportional to $M_X^2/m_\pi^2$ and it is maximal for $M_X \approx 200\ MeV$ [12]. It appears that bound on $|Q_{BX}|$ from the existing experimental data is weaker than the corresponding bounds coming from the neutrino-nuclei scattering.

The X-boson contribution to the rare decays $K \to \pi\mu\bar{\mu}, \pi\nu\bar{\nu}$ comes from the electromagnetic penguin diagram [13] with the replacement of the photon exchange on the X-boson exchange. The corresponding flavour changing $\bar{s}dX$ effective interaction in full analogy with the case of the electromagnetic penguin [13] is determined by the effective vertex

$$\bar{s}\gamma d = -i\lambda_i \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{g_X Q_{BX}}{8\pi^2} \cdot D_0'(x_i)\bar{s}(q^2\gamma_\mu - q_\mu \hat{q})(1-\gamma_5)d\,, \tag{17}$$

where $\lambda_i = V_{is}^* V_{id}$, $x_i = m_{q_i}^2/m_w^2$ and $D_0'(x_i) = O(1)$. Here, $q_\mu$ is the outgoing X-boson momentum. Using the effective vertex of Eq.(17) one can find that the contribution of the X-boson exchange to the $K^+ \to \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ effective Hamiltonian is

$$\delta H_{eff}(K^+ \to \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}) \sim \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_t \frac{\alpha_X Q_{BX}}{2\pi} \frac{(p_\nu + p_{\bar{\nu}})^2}{(p_\nu + p_{\bar{\nu}})^2 - m_X^2} (\bar{s}d)_{V-A}(\bar{\nu}\nu)_{V-A} \tag{18}$$

and it is much smaller (by a factor $O(10^{-6})Q_{BX}$) than the standard model contribution

$$H_{eff}(K^+ \to \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}) \sim \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_t \frac{\alpha}{2\pi \sin^2\theta_w} (\bar{s}d)_{V-A}(\bar{\nu}\nu)_{V-A} \tag{19}$$

to this decay. The similar situation takes place for the other $K \to \pi\mu\bar{\mu}$, $B \to X_{s,d}\nu\bar{\nu}$ decay modes. Finally, we conclude that there is no stringent bounds on $|Q_{BX}|$ from the rare meson decays.

Finally consider bounds on X-boson couplings with electron and muon from the spectroscopy measurement of the $1s - 2s$ interval in *muonium* $(\mu^+ e^-)$ *atoms* [14]. The result of this measurement can be interpreted as a measurement of the muon-electron charge ratio [14]

$$\frac{Q_{\mu^+}}{Q_{e^-}} = -1 - (1.1 \pm 2.1) \cdot 10^{-9} \tag{20}$$

The additional interaction of muon with electron due to the X-boson exchange leads to the additional (in comparison with standard Coulomb potential) nonrelativistic interaction between electron and $\mu^+$ meson

$$\delta V(r) = -\frac{\alpha_X Q_{eX}}{r} exp(-M_X r) \tag{21}$$

For very light X-boson ($M_X \ll m_e\alpha$) the exponential factor in Eq.(21) is negligible and an account of the additional interaction of Eq.(21) is equivalent to the standard Coulomb interaction of the electron with $\mu^+$ with muon electric charge equal to $Q_{\mu^+} = 1 + \alpha_X/\alpha \cdot Q_{eX}$. So for $M_X \ll m_e\alpha$ we find from Eq.(4) and Eq.(20) that

$$Q_{eX} = (3 \pm 5.7) \cdot 10^{-4} \tag{22}$$

For $M_X \gg m_e\alpha$ we have exponential damping $exp(-M_X/m_e\alpha)$ for the X-boson exchange contribution to the $1s - 2s$ energy difference of muonium and there is no useful bound on $Q_{eX}$ from the muonium spectroscopy. Note that the spectroscopy of the hydrogen atoms is not so "clean" from the theoretical point of view as the muonium one due to composite nature of proton that prevents the extraction of stringent bounds on the interaction of the X-boson with quarks.

To summarise, the existing experimental data give the most stringent bound on $|Q_{eX}| \ll 1$ whereas the bound on $|Q_{XB}|$ is rather weak.

Especially interesting (in particular, from the aesthetic point of view) is the case when X-boson interacts only with second and third lepton generations ( $Q_{BX} = Q_{eX} = 0, Q_{\mu X} = -Q_{\tau X} = 1$) that makes the search for such particle quite difficult. For $M_X \leq 2m_\mu$ the X-boson decays mainly to $X \to \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu, \nu_\tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$. For $2m_\mu < M_X < 2m_\tau$ the additional decay mode to $\mu^+\mu^-$ opens up with the branching ratio $\sim 0.5$ if $2m_\mu \ll M_X$. For $M_X > 2m_\tau$ the decay channel $X \to \tau\bar{\tau}$ is also opened.

The best limit comes from the non-observation of such X-boson at LEP1 in the decay $Z \to \mu^+\mu^- + X, \tau^+\tau^- + X$. We obtain $\alpha_X \leq O(10^{-4})$ that leads to the bound

$$M_X \leq O(5)\ GeV \tag{23}$$

on the X-boson mass. Note that bound (23) holds only if the X-boson explains the $g-2$ anomaly. It is interesting that the existence of such gauge interaction prohibits diagonal neutrino Majorana masses $\nu_\mu\nu_\mu$ and $\nu_\tau\nu_\tau$ and allows non diagonal mass term $\nu_\tau\nu_\mu$ before $U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry breaking. After $U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry breaking small diagonal terms appear that leads to the maximal $\nu_\mu - \nu_\tau$ mixing [4] with the typical prediction $\Delta m^2_{\nu_\mu\nu_\tau} \ll m^2_{\nu_\mu}$. .

---

[4] We are indebted to Prof. S.L. Glashow for interesting comments on this subject.

The branching ratio for the $\mu \to e\bar{\nu}_e\nu_\mu X(X \to \nu\bar{\nu})$ is predicted to be $O(\alpha_X/\pi) \sim O(10^{-8})$ that is too small to be observable in the nearest future. The X-boson could be produced in the reaction $\mu + N \to \mu + X + ...$ and be detected (for $M_X > 2m_\mu$) through its decay into muon pair $X \to \mu^+\mu^-$ with the $\sigma(\mu + N \to \mu + X + ...)/\sigma(\mu + N \to \mu + ...) \sim O(\alpha_X/\pi)$. Such reaction could be searched for, e.g. in the COMPASS experiment at CERN.

Note that massless X-bosons could be associated with muonic(leptonic) photons, introduced to explain conservation of the muon(lepton) number, see [15] and references therein. Interestingly, the existing experimental limit on coupling strength between the muonic photons and muons, $\alpha_\mu < (1.1 \div 2.3) \times 10^{-8}$ [16], is in the region of values required to explain the BNL result, $\alpha_\mu = (2.7 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-8}$.

Although many models explaining the BNL discrepancy appeared recently, see e.g. [2]-[4], all of them require very high energies for their experimental tests. [5] In this note the discrepancy is explained by the existence of a new weakly interacting light gauge X-boson, which is not excluded by the experimental data. This makes it still interesting for further experimental searches at present accelerators.

We are grateful to Prof S.L. Glashow for his interest to our work and useful remarks. The work of N.V.K. has been partly supported by INTAS-CERN 377 grant.

## References

[1] H.N.Brown et al., hep-ex/0102017.

[2] A.Czanecki and W.J.Marciano, hep-ph/0102122;
L.Everett, G.L.Kane, S.Rigolin and L.-T.Wang, hep-ph/0102145;
J.L.Feng and K.T.Matchev, hep-ph/0102146;
E.A.Baltz and P.Gondolo, hep-ph/0102147;
U.Chattopadhyay and P.Nath, hep-ph/0102157.

[3] U.Mahanta, hep-ph/0102176;
D.Chakraverty, D.Choudhury and A.Datta, hep-ph/0102180.

[4] T.Huang, Z.-H.Lin, L.-Y. Shan anf X.Zhang, hep-ph/0103193;
D.Choudhury, B.Mukhopadhya and S.Rakshit, hep-ph/0102199.

[5] E.D. Carlson, Nucl. Phys. **B 286** (1987) 378.

---

[5] For some of the many references appeared during the completion of this work see also [17].

[6] M.I.Dobrolliubov and A.Yu.Ignatiev, Nucl. Phys. **B 309** (1988) 655;
M.I Dobroliubov, Yad. Phys. **52** (1990) 551 [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **52** (1990) 352].

[7] S.N.Gninenko and N.V.Krasnikov, Phys. Lett. **B 427** (1998) 307.

[8] I.Ya.Kobzarev and L.B.Okun, Soviet. Phys. JETP **14** (1961) 859.

[9] J.Altegoer et al., NOMAD Collaboration, Phys. Lett. **B 428** (1998) 197.

[10] D.E. Groom et al., Review of Particle Physics, Eur. Phys. J. **C 15** (2000) 1.

[11] As a review, see for example:
B.Z.Kopeliovich and P.Marage, Int.Journal of Mod.Phys.**8A**(1993)1513.

[12] T.M.Aliev et al., Nucl.Phys.**B335**(1990)311.

[13] As a theoretical review of flavour changing rare decays, see for example:
A.J.Buras and R.Fleischer, hep-ph/9704376.

[14] F.Maas et al., Phys.Lett.**A187**(1994)247;
V.Meyer et al., hep-ex/9907013.

[15] L.B. Okun, Phys. Lett. **B 382** (1996) 389.

[16] B. Akkus et al., CHARM II Collaboration, Phys. Lett. **B 434** (1998) 200.

[17] K.Cheung, hep-ph/0102238;
P.Das, S.K.Rai and S.Raychaudhuri, hep-ph/0102242;
T.W.Kephart and H.Pas, hep-ph/0102243;
E.Ma and M.Raidal, hep-ph/0102255;
J.Ellis, D.V.Nanopoulos and K.Olive, hep-ph/0102331;
Z.Xiong and J.M.Yang, hep-ph/0102259;
X.Calmet, H.Fritzch and D.Holtmannspotter, hep-ph/0103012;
S.K.Kang and K.Y.Lee, hep-ph/0103064;
S.Rajpoot, hep-ph/0103069;
S.C.Park and H.S.Song, hep-ph/0103072;
C.Yue, Q.Xu and G.Lieu, hep-ph/0103084;
D.A.Diaz, R.Martinez and J.A.Rodrigez, hep-ph/0103050;
C.A. de S.Pires and P.S.Rodrigues da Silva, hep-ph/0103083;
D.A.Dicus, H.J.He and J.N.Ng, hep-ph/0103126;
E.O.Itan, hep-ph/0103105;
C.S.Kim, J.D.Kim and J.Song, hep-ph/0103127;
S.Baek, P.Ko and H.S.Lee, hep-ph/0103218;
F.E.Yndurain, hep-ph/0102312;
M.Krawczyk, hep-ph/0103223;
M.Raidal, hep-ph/0103224.